

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAHLEEM TINDLE, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
CITY OF DALY CITY, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-02449-HSG (DMR)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

At the March 22, 2016 case management conference before Judge Haywood S. Gilliam, Plaintiff Yolanda Banks-Reed agreed to accept service on behalf of all of the plaintiffs in the case, including Plaintiff Sahleem Tindle. March 22 H'rg Tr. [Docket No. 149] at 8:4-25. On April 1, 2016, Judge Gilliam granted Plaintiff Banks-Reed's request to participate in Electronic Case Filing and she is now an electronic filer. [Docket Nos. 140 and 141.] The undersigned therefore assumes that Ms. Banks-Reed is receiving electronic notice of court orders, and is communicating their contents to her co-Plaintiffs.

On June 3, 2016, the court ordered Plaintiff Tindle to file a letter by June 6, 2016 providing at least five available weekday dates for his deposition during the weeks of June 6, 2016 and June 13, 2016. [Docket No. 155 at 4.] Mr. Tindle has not done so.

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

1 The court ORDERS Plaintiff Tindle to file a letter to the court by 9:00 a.m. on June 9,
2 2016 and explain his failure to respond to the court's June 3, 2016 Order. In addition, Plaintiff
3 Tindle must simultaneously file his letter indicating at least three available weekday dates for his
4 deposition during the week of June 13, 2016. If Plaintiff Tindle does not respond by 9:00 a.m. on
5 June 9, 2016, he may face sanctions.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7 Dated: June 7, 2016



Donna M. Ryu
United States Magistrate Judge